

the second consisting of Nuggehalli, Hirisave and Bagur hobli with headquarters at Nuggehalli ?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(a) Yes, requests were made.

(b) It is proposed to cover the entire State by stages, by the N.E.S. Scheme. The allotment of Blocks for the current year 1958-59 has already been made. The suggestion will be kept in view and considered at the time of next allotment of Blocks.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಬ್ಲಾಕ್ ಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಅನೇಕ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ನಿರ್ಧಾನವಾಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

\*ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಅನೇಕ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು ನನ್ನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಒಂದೆರಡುಸಲ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅಲ್ಲದ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಯೂ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗ ಅಲ್ಲಗೆ ಒಂದು ಬ್ಲಾಕ್ ಕೊಡಲು ವಿರ್ಪಾದು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—(ಬಿ) ಭಾಗದ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ “ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನು ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದೆ ಬ್ಲಾಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಚುವಾಗ ಪರ್ಯಾಯ ರೋಡಿಸರಾಗುವುದು” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದು oral ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಅಥವಾ writing ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

(ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—1958-59 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಕೆ ಮುಗಿದುಹೋಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಮುಂದೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ಬ್ಲಾಕ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಚುತ್ತೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಮುಂದಿನ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಇಂದಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ರಾಜ್ಯಕ್ಕೂ ಬ್ಲಾಕ್ ಹಂಚುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

### Implementation of the suggestions of the Balawanth Rai Metha Committee on Community Projects.

Q.—3787. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have recently received copies of the Balawanth Rai Metha Committee Report on the working of the Community Projects, from the Planning Commission ;

(b) whether they intend implementing the suggestions made therein ?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Government of India had called for the views of the State Government and the State Government has forwarded its views. The matter is under consideration of Government of India. On receipt of a further communication from Government of India further action will be taken.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—What is the opinion of the State Government that has been forwarded to the Government of India in regard to this matter ?

\*Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—We have generally agreed with the broad principles enunciated therein.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is it not a fact that some recommendations are already implemented by the Government of Mysore ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Some are in practice but the whole thing will have to be considered and wherever possible they will be adopted.

2 P.M.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are the Government aware that the Mysore Government according to that printed report has aligned itself with the Kerala Government in not giving their opinion ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I have not looked into the Kerala document, Sir.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—It is stated here that the State Government has forwarded its views. Have they made any radical suggestions and changes ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Not many radical changes.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—In the report, particularly volume 3, it is stated in several columns, that the information or remarks from the Mysore Government are not available. In

(SRI B. R. SUNDHANKAR)

certain cases, it is stated that preliminary remarks from the Mysore Government are not available. I want to know whether this means that this Government has not supplied full and proper information to the Commission. May I know what is it due to, whether it is due to negligence or oversight?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—All available information has been given. I do not think anything more is necessary. If they ask, we are going to give it.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—To my previous question, the Hon'ble the Chief Minister was pleased to say that no radical changes had been suggested. May I know if any change has been suggested and if so, what they are?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is difficult for me to answer now. Let the Hon'ble Member put another question.

### SHORT NOTICE QUESTION AND ANSWER.

#### Clash among the Workers of the New Karnatak Mills, Hubli.

Q.—155. Sri F. H. MOHSIN (Hubli City).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that there was a clash among the workers of the New Karnatak Mills, Hubli, on 31st March 1958, due to its closure ;

(b) whether it is a fact that twelve persons received injuries in the clash ;

(c) the action they have taken to prevent the closure of the Mills ?

A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) A recommendation has been made to the Central Government to extend the Licence to work the Mills as Power Loom Factory.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—May I know the reasons for this clash ?

\*Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Certain sections of the Mill were closed and consequently certain number of labourers were laid off and they were not

provided with any work when they went to the mill premises. Consequently, there was a clash.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—Were there any deaths during that clash ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—No Sir, some minor injuries were sustained.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—May I know the date of the recommendation of this State Government ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—We have made several representations in this regard and that is a question which I am not able immediately to reply. But personally, when I had been to Delhi, I took up the matter with the Ministry concerned and represented this fact, and also in writing, we have forwarded recommendations of this Government.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—May I know whether the Mill is still closed or whether it is now working ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Some parts of the weaving section is closed. Not the entire mill.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—May I know when the decision of the Central Government will be taken ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That is a matter entirely resting with the Central Government and I am unable to give a specific answer in that regard.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—Will the State Government pursue the matter and press the Central Government to take a decision as early as possible ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—We have done all that is necessary and now it rests with the Government of India to take a decision.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know the reason for the clash among the workers there, Sir ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—My friend was perhaps not hearing my answer to the first question. I have answered that question.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—How many workers have been thrown out of employment due to this closure, Sir ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—First time, I think about 300 of them. I do not have the latest figures. I cannot say definitely how many have been denied work subsequently. But at the time